

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,609	07/30/2003	Shouji Katsumata	115922	6115
25944 75	. 04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			CHANG, CHING	
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
71221111121111	22020		3748	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/629,609	KATSUMATA, SHOUJI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ching Chang	3748
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe	ebruary 2005.	
•	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4,5 and 11-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,4,5, and 11-16 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/16/2005 has been entered.

Claims 2-3, and 6-10 are cancelled, and new claims 14-16 are added as requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

More specifically, "the lubricating oil passage before to the cam driven valve in claims 11-13 lacks antecedent basis, and renders the claimed subject matter indefinite.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/629,609

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albanello (US Patent 6,138,621) in view of Nakamura et al. (US Patent 5,220,891).

Albanello discloses an internal combustion engine (See Fig. 1), comprising: a head section (1) that includes an electromagnetically driven valve (20) and a cam (28) driven valve; a block section (under 3) that includes a piston (under 2) and a crankshaft connected thereto; the electromagnetically driven valve that serves to drive one of an intake valve (7) and an exhaust valve (27); and a cam (28) driven valve that serves to drive the other valve; a first lubricating oil passage (23, 26) being formed to the electromagnetically driven valve.

Albanello discloses the invention as recited above, however, fails to disclose a second lubricating oil passage being formed independently from the first lubricating oil passage, and being formed to the cam driven valve and the block section.

The patent to Nakamura on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the variable cam engine art, to utilize a separate lubricating oil passage (through 15, 16, 13) being formed to the cam driven valve and the block section.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the independently formed lubricating oil passage to the cam driven valve and the block section as taught by Nakamura in the Albanello device, since the use thereof would provide a more flexible engine valve train lubrication system.

5. Claims 4-5, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albanello in view of Nakamura (as applied to claims 1, and 11 above), and further in view of Hu (US Patent No. 5,680,841).

The modified Albanewllo device discloses the invention, however, fails to disclose the lubricating oil supplied through the first lubricating oil passage to the electromagnetically driven valve having a different type from that of lubricating oil supplied through the second lubricating oil passage.

The patent to Hu on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of an engine with combined cam and electro-hydraulic engine valve control, to utilize a lubricating oil supplied through the lubricating oil passage to the electromagnetically driven valve having a different type from that of lubricating oil supplied through the other lubricating oil passage (See Col. 3, line 18 through Col. 4, line 13).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the different type lubricating oil (in viscosity) supplied to the electromagnetically driven valve from that being supplied to other engine components as taught by Hu in the modified Albanello device, since the use thereof

Art Unit: 3748

would provide an alternative choice on lubricating oil for each cam driven or electromagnetically driven engine valve, with respect to engine operating conditions.

6. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albanello (US Patent 6,138,621) in view of Kobayashi et al. (US Patent 6,302,071).

Albanello discloses an internal combustion engine (See Fig. 1), comprising: a head section (1); a block section (under 3) that includes a piston (under 2) and a crankshaft connected thereto; an electromagnetically driven valve (20) driving one of an intake valve (7) and an exhaust valve (27), the electromagnetically driven valve formed in the head section; and a cam (28) driven valve formed in the head section and driving the other valve; a first lubricating oil passage (23, 26) being formed to the electromagnetically driven valve.

Albanello discloses the invention as recited above, however, fails to disclose the first lubricating oil passage being formed to the electromagnetically driven valve and the cam driven valve, and a second lubricating oil passage being formed to the block section including the piston and crankshaft.

The patent to Kobayashi on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of an oil passage system of valve moving apparatus, to utilize a first lubricating oil passage (74) to an electromagnetically driven valve and a cam driven valve, and a second lubricating oil passage (73) being formed to the block section including the piston and crankshaft.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the first lubricating oil passage and the second lubricating oil passage as taught by Kobbayashi in the Albanello device, since the use thereof would provide a more compact engine valve lubrication system.

7. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albanello in view of Kobayashi (as applied to claim 14 above), and further in view of Hu (US Patent No. 5,680,841).

The modified Albanewllo device discloses the invention, however, fails to disclose the lubricating oil supplied through the first lubricating oil passage to the electromagnetically driven valve having a different type from that of lubricating oil supplied through the second lubricating oil passage.

The patent to Hu on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of an engine with combined cam and electro-hydraulic engine valve control, to utilize a lubricating oil supplied through the lubricating oil passage to the electromagnetically driven valve having a different type from that of lubricating oil supplied through the other lubricating oil passage (See Col. 3, line 18 through Col. 4, line 13).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the different type lubricating oil (in viscosity) supplied to the electromagnetically driven valve from that being supplied to other engine components as taught by Hu in the modified Albanello device, since the use thereof

Art Unit: 3748

would provide an alternative choice on lubricating oil for an electromagnetically driven engine valve or other engine components, with respect to engine operating conditions.

8. Claims 1, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albanello (US Patent 6,138,621) in view of Tabata et al. (US Patent 5,400,747).

Albanello discloses an internal combustion engine (See Fig. 1), comprising: a head section (1) that includes an electromagnetically driven valve (20) and a cam (28) driven valve; a block section (under 3) that includes a piston (under 2) and a crankshaft connected thereto; the electromagnetically driven valve that serves to drive one of an intake valve (7) and an exhaust valve (27); and a cam (28) driven valve that serves to drive the other valve; a first lubricating oil passage (23, 26) being formed to the electromagnetically driven valve.

Albanello discloses the invention as recited above, however, fails to disclose a second lubricating oil passage being formed independently from the first lubricating oil passage, and being formed to the cam driven valve and the block section.

The patent to Tabata on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the valve timing control art, to utilize a second lubricating oil passage to the block section (See Fig. 2, between piston and cylinder), the second lubricating oil passage being formed independently from a first lubricating oil passage (for 50) to the electromagnetically driven valve (53, 56, 57).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the independently formed second lubricating oil

passage to the cam driven valve and the block section as taught by Tabata in the Albanello device, since the use thereof would provide a more flexible engine valve train lubrication system.

9. Claims 4-5, and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Albanello in view of Nakamura (as applied to claims 1, and 11 above), and further in view of Hu (US Patent No. 5,680,841).

The modified Albanewllo device discloses the invention, however, fails to disclose the lubricating oil supplied through the first lubricating oil passage to the electromagnetically driven valve having a different type from that of lubricating oil supplied through the second lubricating oil passage.

The patent to Hu on the other hand, teaches that it is conventional in the art of an engine with combined cam and electro-hydraulic engine valve control, to utilize a lubricating oil supplied through the lubricating oil passage to the electromagnetically driven valve having a different type from that of lubricating oil supplied through the other lubricating oil passage (See Col. 3, line 18 through Col. 4, line 13).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized the different type lubricating oil (in viscosity) supplied to the electromagnetically driven valve from that being supplied to other engine components as taught by Hu in the modified Albanello device, since the use thereof would provide an alternative choice on lubricating oil for each cam driven or electromagnetically driven engine valve, with respect to engine operating conditions.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-5, and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ching Chang whose telephone number is (571)272-4857. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, 7:00 AM -5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (571)272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3748

Patent Examiner

Ming thang

Ching Chang

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Page 10